Chemistry 271, Section 21xx Your Name: Key **University of Maryland, College Park** Your SID #: **General Chemistry and Energetics** Prof. Jason Kahn Exam I (100 points total) March 11, 2009 You have 50 minutes for this exam. Exams written in pencil or erasable ink will not be re-graded under any circumstances. Explanations should be concise and clear. I have given you more space than you should need. There is extra space on the last page if you need it. You will need a calculator for this exam. No other study aids or materials are permitted. Generous partial credit will be given, *i.e.*, if you don't know, guess. Useful Equations: $E = mc^2$ $\Delta S - q/T \ge 0$ $pH = -\log([H^+])$ $\Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S$ PV = nRT $S = k \ln W$ F = ma $e^{i\pi} + 1 = 0$ $K_a = [H^+][A^-]/[HA]$ $n_i/n_0 = \exp[-(E_i - E_0)/kT]$ W = N!/($\prod n_i$!) $pH = pKa + \log [A-]/[HA]$

Honor Pledge: At the end of the examination time, please write out the following sentence and sign it, or talk to me about it:

"I pledge on my honor that I have not given or received any unauthorized assistance on this examination."

- 1. (10 pts) Ammonium nitrate, NH_4NO_3 , is synthesized from ammonia (NH_3) and nitric acid. Do you expect the pH of an ammonium nitrate solution to be < 7 or > 7 (circle one)? The pK_b of ammonia is 4.75. Write down the equilibrium to which this pK_b refers. Is NH_4^+ a weak acid, strong acid, weak base, or strong base (circle one)? What is its pK_a?
- +2 for < 7 it's the salt of a weak-medium base and a strong acid
- +3 The equilibrium for the pKb is $NH_3 + H_2O \iff NH_4^+ + HO^-$ [+1 for $NH_4^+ \iff NH_3 + H^+$]
- +2 for weak acid

+3 for pKa = 14 – pKb = 9.25 [+1 for the idea that pKa and pKb are related.]

This relationship comes about because adding the reverse of the acid dissociation reaction for ammonia to the above equilibrium gives us simply water self-dissociation

2. The enzyme lactate dehydrogenase is essential to the maintenance of anaerobic metabolism. The proposed reaction mechanism for the conversion of pyruvate to lactate is shown here, along with a graph of the pH dependence of enzymatic activity. Typical approximate pK_s for amino acid side chains are Arg 12.5, His 6, Asp 4.



+3 If the Histidine 195-H+ is deprotonated, +3 it can no longer donate a proton [and therefore the

developing negative charge as the hydride attacks has nowhere to go]

- Many of you knew that a proton was needed somewhere but did not identify it in the mechanism. Deprotonation of NADH is wrong but not ridiculous (it's a hydride transfer). Denaturation occurs at high pH, but usually not at pH 7.
 - (b; 6 pts) At pH 7 the enzyme is 50% as active as it is at pH 5 or below. This has been interpreted as meaning that there is a residue with a pK_a of 7 that is important for catalysis. It terms of one of the equations given on the front page of this exam, what is the basis for this measurement of the pK_a?

+3 Henderson-Hasselbach pH = pKa + log ([His]/[HisH+])

+3 If the pH = pKa, the residue is half protonated and half deprotonated

- The clue is that the curve looks like a titration on its side, where enzymatic activity is proportional to the amount of the protonated His195H+.
- [If His195 is deprotonated, the enzyme is inactive]

- (c; 4 pts) The presence of the Asp168 nearby was thought to raise the pK_a of His195-H⁺ from its normal 6 to about 7. Explain why it should have this effect. [In 1988, the Asp168 was mutated to Ala (an uncharged residue). Surprisingly, there was no effect on pKa. It took 20 years for the suggestion to emerge that a Glu residue swings in to replace the Asp168 in the mutant.]
- +2 The negative charge on Asp168 should stabilize the neighboring + charge on HisH+.
- +2 More stable + charge, more stable proton binding, weaker acid, higher pKa.

3. The sketch below shows three possible microstates for gases bouncing around in boxes. Each box has the same total kinetic energy.



- (a; 8 pts) Which box (circle it) looks like it has a Boltzmann distribution of speeds? How do you know? Why is the microstate on the left a member of a configuration that is much less likely than the predominant configuration?
- +2 for the middle box
- +2 It has a distribution of different speeds, +1 rather than giving all the energy to one molecule or sharing it exactly equally
- +3 for there are only a small number of ways to give all the energy to one molecule

Many people chose the right box, few related it to the number of microstates in the configuration. [There are 10 molecules in the box, therefore only 10 unique ways to give the lion's share of

energy to one molecule – many fewer possible microstates than the roughly 10*9*8*7/2 = 2500 ways to have 4 excited molecules with 2 being the same. There's only one distribution that has every velocity equal.]

The Boltzmann distribution +3 <u>maximizes the entropy</u> of the system (maximizes W) subject to the constraint that +3 <u>energy is conserved</u>. So it is different for every different T (different available amounts of energy).

- 4. Consider trusting to luck playing poker
 - (a; 8 pts) Calculate the number of ways (i.e. distinguishable hands) W_4 to draw a four of a kind in 5card stud. (i.e. you are dealt 5 cards from a deck of 52 cards). Calculate the number of ways W_{SF} to draw a straight flush, assuming that an ace can only be a high card (i.e. Ace-2-3-4-5 does not count as a straight).
- +5 To draw a 4 of a kind, there are 52 ways to draw the first card, 3*2*1 ways to draw the remaining three in the set, and 48 ways to draw the last card. There are 4! indistinguishable ways to draw any given four of a kind, so the total number of hands is (52*3*2*1*48)/(4*3*2*1) = 13*48 = 624

[+3 for reasonable logic, +2 for correct answer]



+3 There are 9 ways to draw a straight flush for each suit, so 36 ways.

[This calculation is one reason why a straight flush beats a 4 of a kind]

(b; 4 pts) If the total possible number of 5-card stud hands is 2598960, what is the total probability of drawing either a four of a kind or a straight flush?

 $P = (W_4 + W_{SF})/(W_{total}) = (624 + 36)/2598960 = 0.000254$, or 0.025%.

+2 for idea of ratio of W's being a probability, +2 for answer.

- 5. (8 pts) Thermodynamics. Consider the Gibbs free energy G = H TS. We have shown that the inequality $\Delta G = \Delta H T\Delta S < 0$ holds for any spontaneous process. In terms of the 2nd Law of Thermodynamics, why does $\Delta H < 0$ help drive the process forward? What is one main advantage of using free energy rather than $\Delta S q/T > 0$ as our routine computational criterion for spontaneity?
- $\Delta H < 0$ means that the reaction is +2 <u>exothermic</u>. Exothermic reactions +3 <u>increase the entropy of the surroundings</u>, which drives the reaction forward. The fact that negative ΔH tends to give negative ΔG is the reason we choose to use ΔG , it's not the reason that an exothermic reaction tends to be favorable!

+3 The use of ΔG means that we can do everything in terms of <u>state functions</u> that can be measured and tabulated in many ways, as opposed to needing to worry about path-dependent and difficult-tomeasure values of q (heat transfer).

[+1 for saying that the condition refers only to the system, not the surroundings; this is actually true of the master relation $\Delta S - q/T > 0$]

- 6. Consider a titration of 100 ml of 0.125 M formic acid (HCOOH), pKa = 3.75, with 0.125 M NaOH.
 - (a; 15 pts) Upon addition of 80 ml of the NaOH, use the H-H relationship to calculate the [H⁺], pH (give pH to 4 significant figures), [HCOOH], and [HCOO⁻], and also calculate [HO-]. To apply the H-H in this way, what must be true about [HCOOH], and [HCOO⁻]?

0.100 l * 0.125 M = 0.0125 moles HCOOH

0.080 l * 0.125 M = 0.0100 moles NaOH

Neutralization gives 0.0100 moles HCOO- and 0.0025 moles HCOOH in 0.180 l so the concentrations are 0.01/0.180 = 0.055556 M = [HCOO-] and 0.0025/0.180 = 0.013889 M = [HCOOH].

pH = pKa + log([HCOO-]/[HCOOH]) = 3.75 + log(0.055556/0.013889) = 3.75 + log(4) = 4.3521 $[H+] = 10^{(-pH)} = 4.4457e-5$ $[HO-] = 10^{(-14)}/4.4457e-5 = 2.24943e-10$

- +3 for HH expression, +2 for pH, +1 for 4 sig figs (which we don't deserve), +1 for [H+], +1 for [HO-], +2 for HCOO-, +2 for HCOOH
- +3 To apply the H-H, both [HCOO-] and [HCOOH] should be large with respect to both [H+] and [HO-]. This is clearly true here. I was surprised that so few people used the H-H!

(b; 8 pts) Assuming that the same 80 ml of added NaOH initially neutralizes some of the HCOOH and then some of the resulting HCOO- reassociates with protons via HCOOH <-> HCOO⁻ + H⁺, calculate the pH to 4 significant figures. Why is it different from your answer in (a)?

Neutralization gives 0.0100 moles HCOO- and 0.0025 moles HCOOH in 0.180 l so the concentrations are 0.01/0.180 = 0.055556 M = [HCOO-] and 0.0025/0.180 = 0.013889 M = [HCOOH].

We assume that initial [H+] = 0 because we assumed [HCOOH] = exactly 0.125M, without considering its dissociation.

ICE table: +3 for correct setup

	[HCOOH]	[HCOO ⁻]	[H⁺]
Initial	0.013889	0.055556	0
Change	- <i>x</i>	+ <i>x</i>	+ <i>x</i>
Equilibrium	0.013889 - x	0.055556 + x	x

Ka = [H+][HCOO-]/[HCOOH] = 10^-3.75 = 1.778279e-4

x (0.055556 + x) / (0.013889 - x) = 1.778279e-40.055556x + x^2 = 1.778279e-4*0.013889 = - 1.778279e-4x x^2 + (0.055556+1.778279e-4) x - 2.46985e-06 = 0

 $x = \frac{1}{2} * (-0.0557338279) \pm sqrt(0.0557338279^2 + 4* 2.46985e-06) = \frac{1}{2} * (-0.0557338279 \pm 0.055822) = \frac{1}{2} * 8.838773e-5 = 4.419386e-5 (choose + root as physically reasonable)$

So pH = -log(4.419386e-5) = 4.3546

+3 for the answer

+2 The answer is slightly different because this calculation allows for the re-equilibration [which generates slightly less H+ because of the slight change in concentrations of HA and A-]

7. (5 pts) Given the equilibria below, what is the value for the last equilibrium constant in terms of all the others?

A + 2 B <-> C + D B <-> E C <-> E + F	 <i>K₁</i> <i>K₂</i> <i>K₂</i> <i>K₃</i> Need to reverse this E <-> B <i>K₃</i> <i>K₃</i> Then add up the three reactions (+2 for recognition of this)
A + B <-> D + F	$K_{eq} = \underline{\mathbf{K}_{1}\mathbf{K}_{3}}/\mathbf{K}_{2}$ (+3 for answer)

8. (12 pts) Consider the reversible carbamylation of the N-terminus of Hb with carbon dioxide and also the binding of oxygen to hemoglobin. Reversible carbamylation of the N-terminus of Hb occurs much more readily ($K_1 \gg K_3$) on deoxygenated hemoglobin. Fill in the box on the linked equilibria below and <u>explain how O₂ binding in the lungs helps drive off CO₂.</u>



+3 for filling in Hb•O2 + CO2

+4 If K1 > K3, then we must have K4 > K2, so non-carbamylated Hb binds O2 much better.

+5 When O2 binds in the lungs, it acts to shift the equilibrium to O2-bound forms, reducing the concentration of non-carbamylated Hb, which by LeChatelier causes CO2 release. Alternatively, O2 binding shifts the dominant carbamylation equilibrium from top to bottom, so the binding of CO2 drops.

It's not a direct competition, and the desired answer does not concern the Bohr effect.

[+3 if points missing from above. So the CO2 comes off Hb in the lungs, where we want to breathe it out]

Page	Score
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
Total	